

**AMERICAN UNIVERSITY**  
**IN BULGARIA**

**FACULTY HANDBOOK**

**Approved by the Board of Trustees  
with subsequent revisions  
through May 2010**

# CONTENTS

## SECTION ONE: FACULTY RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

<a href="#">1.1 Acceptance of AAUP Policies and Principles</a>	<a href="#">page 5</a>
<a href="#">1.2 Academic Freedom</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">1.3 Non-Discrimination Policy</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">1.4 Faculty Rights</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">1.5 Outside Activities</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">1.6 Conflicts of Interest</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">1.7 Faculty Code of Ethics</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">1.8 Disciplinary Actions under the Code of Ethics</a>	<a href="#">8</a>

## SECTION TWO: TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR FACULTY

<a href="#">2.1 Recruitment of Faculty</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.2 Types of Appointments</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">2.3 Faculty Contracts</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">2.4 Designation of Rank at the Time of Initial Appointment</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">2.5 Qualifications for Faculty in Graduate Programs</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">2.6 Probationary Period</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">2.7 Contract Renewal for Temporary Fixed-Term Appointments</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">2.8 Contract Renewal for Regular Positions</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">2.9 Voluntary Termination of Employment</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">2.10 Involuntary Termination of Employment During the First Six Years</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">2.11 Involuntary Termination of Employment of Faculty with Continuing Employment</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">2.12 Request for Resignation</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">2.13 Leaves of Absence</a>	<a href="#">13</a>

### **SECTION THREE: EVALUATION OF FACULTY**

<a href="#">3.1 Purpose of Evaluation</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">3.2 Evaluation of Faculty on Fixed Term Contracts</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">3.3 Evaluation of Faculty on Renewable Contracts</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">3.4 Evaluation of Adjunct Faculty</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">3.5 Evaluation of Visiting Faculty in the Executive MBA</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">3.6 Faculty Evaluation Criteria</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">3.7 Basic Responsibilities of Faculty</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">3.8 Professionally Competent Performance</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">3.9 Excellent Performance</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">3.10 Selection of the Faculty Evaluation Team</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">3.11 Objective Evidence for Evaluation</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">3.12 Full-Time Faculty Evaluation Procedures</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">3.13 Adjunct Faculty Evaluation Procedures</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">3.14 Visiting Faculty Evaluation Procedures</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">3.15 Timetable for Faculty Evaluation and Recommendations</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">3.16 Promotion Criteria for Full Time and Adjunct Faculty</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">3.17 Promotion Procedures</a>	<a href="#">22</a>

### **SECTION FOUR: FINANCIAL EXIGENCY AND CURRICULAR REVISION**

<a href="#">4.1 Financial Exigency</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">4.2 Curricular Revision</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">4.3 Definition of Seniority</a>	<a href="#">23</a>

### **SECTION FIVE: UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC ORGANIZATION**

<a href="#">5.1 Academic Organization of the Faculty</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">5.2 Departmental Structure</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">5.3 Administration of the Department</a>	<a href="#">24</a>

<u>5.4 Selection and Appointment of Department Chairs</u>	<u>24</u>
---	-----------

**SECTION SIX: APPEALS, GRIEVANCES, AND INTERNAL HEARINGS**

<u>6.1 Faculty Appeals</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>6.2 Faculty Grievances</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>6.3 Faculty Grievance Against the President</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>6.4 Faculty Grievance Against Another Faculty Member</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>6.5 Internal Administrative Hearings</u>	<u>28</u>

**SECTION SEVEN: PROCEDURE FOR AMENDING POLICIES**

<u>7.1 Procedures for Amending the Faculty Handbook</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>7.2 Procedures for Amending the AUBG Policies Manual</u>	<u>29</u>
<b><u>INDEX</u></b>	<b><u>30</u></b>

All full-time, visiting, and adjunct faculty members are covered by the policies of the Faculty Handbook. (For the purposes of this document, the term “faculty” shall mean an individual with responsibility for teaching at least one formal course.) If the appointment letter carries specific terms that are inconsistent with the policies, the appointment letter will be the binding document.

## **SECTION ONE: FACULTY RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

### **1.1 Acceptance of AAUP Policies and Principles**

The faculty members of the American University in Bulgaria formally accept the statement of policies and principles of the American Association of University Professors. Where questions of interpretation arise as a result of the following rights and responsibilities one should normally consult AAUP Policy Documents and Reports (2001), the AUBG Policies Manual (as amended), and all applicable U.S and Bulgarian laws. In the event of a conflict between this Handbook and the AAUP Policy Documents and Reports, the provisions of this Handbook shall prevail.

### **1.2 Academic Freedom**

AUBG pledges to protect the academic freedom of its faculty. This includes the right to conduct research freely and to publish the results, the right to discuss freely the subject matter of their areas of specialization in the classroom; the right to speak, write, or act freely as private citizens in university, community, national and international affairs; and the right to due process in any dispute with the University related to these matters. As members of the academic community, faculty members must remember that the public may judge both their profession and institution by their words and deeds. Faculty members must not represent themselves as University spokespersons when they act as private individuals.

### **1.3 Non-Discrimination Policy**

AUBG recognizes its responsibility to adhere to U.S. Civil Rights Law, and Bulgarian and EU non-discrimination policies. The American University does not discriminate on the basis of race, ethnic origin, gender, sexual orientation, religion, age, or physical ability in the administration of its admissions policies, educational programs, employment opportunities, or other University programs.

### **1.4 Faculty Rights**

Faculty members have the following specific rights in relation to performance of their contractual obligations to the University:

1.4.1 Faculty have the right to remove students from class when students interfere with the ability of the professor to perform his/her duties or when students interfere with the right of other students to an education. Faculty also have the right to seek permanent administrative removal of a disruptive student from the class; however, when faculty exercise this right it is essential that they recognize the academic freedom of students as well. This power must never be used to penalize a student because of his/her personal, political, or religious views.

1.4.2 Faculty have the right to be indemnified by the University as a result of all legal action brought against faculty when such legal action is a result of the faculty member's proper performance of his/her contractual obligations to the university. The University however, will not be held liable for any legal action brought against faculty when such action is a result of negligence or unprofessional conduct on the part of the faculty member. Faculty should refer to the AAUP statement on Professional Ethics and the Faculty Code of Ethics for further explanation.

1.4.3 Faculty members have the right to view and copy all material in their personnel files except for confidential letters of recommendation requested by the faculty member or the University.

## **1.5 Outside Activities**

Activities that contribute to improved scholarship and professional development, competence, and recognition, as well as to education generally and to the society beyond the University, are assumed to be reasonable and expected parts of the faculty member's commitment to academic life. These activities should supplement and not detract from the faculty member's primary responsibilities to the University.

1.5.1 In order to provide departments with assurance that outside activities will not interfere with academic programs, faculty members who propose substantial (more than one day a week) activities of the type described above must request approval of the planned activity in writing from their Department Chair and the Provost. Faculty members should make known their proposed activities a semester in advance to ensure that, should their requests be approved, the departments may plan accordingly.

1.5.2 The outside activities of any one faculty member should not adversely affect the work loads and the prerogatives of the other members of the department involved.

## **1.6 Conflicts of Interest**

It is AUBG's policy to respect the rights of its faculty and administration to invest in private enterprise and to engage in outside activities of a private nature. Individuals serving the University shall at all times act in a manner consistent with their public responsibilities to the University and shall exercise particular care that no real or perceived detriment to the University results from conflicts between personal interests and those of the University. Individuals are expected to avoid ethical, legal, financial, or other conflicts and potential conflicts of interest that result from considerations of personal preference and private gain. To ensure conformity with this policy, the University takes the following position with respect to conflicts of interest:

1.6.1 Outside activities of full-time employees of the University must not interfere with their university duties, time schedules, or normal participation in appropriate University events.

1.6.2 A potential conflict of interest exists whenever an employee or any member of the employee's family has a financial interest in, or any connection with, an enterprise that does business with the University, and the employee is in a position directly or indirectly to make or influence decisions concerning transactions with such outside enterprise.

1.6.3 An employee should not be in a position to gain from the present or potential dealings of the University with suppliers, contractors, or service organizations. No University employee should accept directly or indirectly, through their family or friends, gifts or other considerations from firms or individuals seeking to do business or doing business with the University.

1.6.4 Buying, renting, or selling property, facilities, equipment, or services to the University by members of the faculty and staff must have prior approval by the President.

1.6.5 In their relationships with students, faculty members are expected to avoid apparent or actual conflicts of interest, favoritism, or bias. Faculty members are prohibited from exercising academic supervision over persons with whom they have a romantic or sexual relationship.

1.6.6 Good judgment is the key to effective and fair implementation of any conflict of interest policy. In specific situations where there is any question of interpretation of the above guidelines, faculty members should first consult with the Dean of Faculty. If any doubt about the appropriateness of an action remains, the full situation will be reviewed by the Provost to determine whether the conflict of interest policy applies.

## 1.7 Faculty Code of Ethics

The assumption underlying the Faculty Code of Ethics is that faculty members are bound by and honor the personal and professional standards of conduct articulated in the documents of the American Association of University Professors and AUBG's personnel policies included in the AUBG Policies Manual. The following principles are designed to further explain what actions have the potential to lead to disciplinary action by the University.

1.7.1 Faculty shall use caution when entering into business relationships with students. Business relationships between faculty and students that involve a potential for conflict of interest should normally be avoided.

1.7.2 Faculty shall exercise caution when employing students for personal services. When a supervisory or evaluative relationship exists between a faculty member and a student, the extensive use of students for personal services which are unrelated to the faculty member's professional obligations to the University, whether paid or unpaid, should normally be avoided.

1.7.3 Faculty are strongly advised not to engage in consenting relationships with students as these relationships may result in sexual harassment allegations, conflict of interest, and/or disruption of the academic environment. (Additional information on the AUBG policy on sexual harassment definitions, investigations, and sanctions is included in the AUBG Policies Manual and the Student Handbook.)

1.7.4 Faculty shall respect the right of their colleagues to freedom of speech and academic freedom. Faculty must recognize that the right to hold divergent opinions and to speak freely on controversial matters is fundamental to academic discourse.

1.7.5 Some speech goes beyond that which is protected by concepts of freedom of speech and academic freedom. Behaviors or verbal comments that are severe and pervasive enough to create a hostile, intimidating, or abusive work environment or classroom environment constitute harassment whether or not such comments are of a sexual nature. Harassment may take the form of direct ethnic or racial slurs, or abusive language toward a specific individual on the AUBG campus. Libel against a colleague or repeated attacks on the personal or academic reputation of a colleague or a student is harassment as well, specifically when verbal statements are made with malicious intent and with the knowledge that such statements are untrue.

1.7.6 When a statement is made that has the potential to damage a colleague's personal or professional reputation or a student's reputation, and that statement is later proven to be false, the individual who made the statement has a professional obligation to issue both a retraction and an apology.

1.7.7 Faculty are responsible for behavior that may not legally constitute harassment, but may, in ongoing, systematic, and severe instances interfere with the ability of other faculty to fulfill their professional responsibilities. It is not possible to specify in detail all behaviors that might violate this policy. It is possible, however, to state a general standard for judging behavior in the workplace.

- When arguments and conflicts with colleagues create a work-place atmosphere that is permeated with overt hostility, abusive behavior, or intimidation that prevents faculty or other employees from fulfilling their professional obligations, then the matter ceases to be an issue of collegiality.
- When the general behavior of a faculty member, including comments of a purely verbal nature, creates a demonstrably hostile, intimidating, or abusive work environment for other faculty members, that behavior constitutes a violation of the Faculty Code of Ethics.

1.7.8 It is equally important to note what types of behaviors and statements are not actionable under this clause.

- A faculty member's opinions, beliefs, attitudes or public statements on controversial issues may not be used as evidence against him/her in interpreting this policy.

- Divergent or unpopular opinions are not violations of the Faculty Code of Ethics. This resolution may not be interpreted in a fashion that conflicts with U.S. Supreme Court rulings on Campus Speech Codes.

1.7.9 Faculty should respect the academic freedom of their students by evaluating students only on the quality of each student's academic performance. Faculty should also demonstrate respect for the student's academic freedom and recognize the right of students to hold views that differ from their own.

1.7.10 Faculty have the responsibility to excuse themselves from all duties which involve a conflict of interest when they are in a position to evaluate colleagues or recommend their colleagues for promotion or reappointment.

1.7.11 Faculty have a responsibility to participate in grievance procedures, internal administrative hearings, and student conduct councils. In these instances, faculty recommendations carry the potential to determine a student's course of study or a colleague's ability to work in his/her chosen profession. Such responsibilities cannot be undertaken lightly. When one lacks legal experience or expertise, it is possible to be unaware of the gravity of serving in such a capacity. It is vitally important that faculty serving on grievance committees, conduct councils, or internal administrative hearings do not exert undue bias on the process, or allow personal biases to affect their judgment. Such behavior constitutes a conflict of interest. Conflicts of interest in such proceedings also occur when a person acting in such a capacity fails to disclose relevant information or relevant prior knowledge of the case, is engaged in an ongoing business relationship, a supervisory or evaluatory relationship, or a close personal, sexual, or romantic relationship with any party to the process.

## **1.8 Disciplinary Actions Under the Code of Ethics**

Faculty should understand the accepted procedures through which an alleged violation of the Faculty Code of Ethics may result in disciplinary action. Interpretation of Section 1.7 is subject to the statement on Faculty Ethics and Professional Obligations contained in the AAUP Policy Documents and Reports (2001), the AUBG Policies Manual (1998), and subsequently approved amendments to the AUBG Policies Manual. Enforcement of this section shall be in accordance with the AAUP "Statement on Academic Freedom and Due Process": AAUP (2001) and Section Six of this document. In the event of a conflict between this Handbook and the AAUP Policy Documents and Reports or the AUBG Policies Manual, the provisions of this Handbook shall prevail.

1.8.1 Not all actions which potentially violate the general principles are necessarily severe enough to lead to administrative action. Good judgment is the key to enforcement of any university policy. In some instances, faculty should attempt to make use of the department chair and/or Dean of Faculty as a means of resolving disputes collegially and informally. When this is neither possible nor appropriate, and evidence exists that a faculty member has violated the Faculty Code of Ethics or other AUBG policies, the Provost, or any other duly appointed representative of the administration, should communicate clearly in writing to the faculty member the nature of the violation and what changes in behavior are expected.

1.8.2 When a violation of the Faculty Code of Ethics or any other AUBG policy is so severe that it threatens the ethical integrity of the institution or of the teaching profession in general, the administration may initiate dismissal proceedings for the first offense. It is expected, however, that formal proceedings will, under most circumstances, be instituted only as a last resort.

## **SECTION TWO: TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR FACULTY**

### **2.1 Recruitment of Faculty**

The decision to recruit faculty lies with the Provost acting with the knowledge and permission of the President. When the decision to recruit is made, the University will advertise for either a regular position or a temporary

fixed-term position as defined in Section 2.2. The final decision to advertise for and offer a permanent or a temporary position will be at the sole discretion of the President.

2.1.1 When the determination to conduct an international search and advertise for a regular or a temporary fixed-term position is made, the Provost will approve the selection committee consisting of faculty members of the discipline on regular appointments, a faculty member from outside the department, one student and any others that are deemed necessary to make an adequate committee. Participation in hiring/selection committees is open to all regular members of the department but not mandatory

2.1.2 The search committee, in consultation with the Dean of Faculty will prepare the job description, screen applicants, check references, arrange for campus visits of final applicants, and recommend to the Provost, who in turn recommends to the President, a candidate for appointment.

2.1.3 While occasions may arise when the institution has the need or opportunity to hire immediately, faculty in the relevant program area should be consulted when temporary fixed-term positions are offered.

2.1.4 Adjunct faculty, Balkan Scholars, visiting faculty, and Fulbright faculty have a different affiliation with the institution and, consequently, the search process may be conducted with less intensive use of University time and resources. Efforts will ordinarily be made to identify multiple qualified candidates. The recommendation of a candidate will be made by the Department Chair, in consultation with the members of the department, to the Dean of Faculty. As with all hiring, offers of employment may be made only by the Provost after consultation with the President.

2.1.5 The final decision to offer a contract is made by the President. The President shall have the authority to review all hiring recommendations and to ensure that appropriate standards and procedures have been followed. The President also has the final responsibility to ensure that all recruitment procedures are in accordance with Bulgarian and U.S. law.

## **2.2 Types of Appointments**

Faculty are appointed at the American University in Bulgaria on one of the several types of contracts defined below. The policies regarding continuation of contracts and evaluation are specified for each type in Section 3.

2.2.1 Regular full-time faculty appointments are multi-year appointments, initially for three years. The rank, term of service, salary, and benefits are outlined in the appointment letter. Full-time faculty are evaluated for reappointment and eligible for promotion according to criteria and procedures outlined in Section 3.

2.2.2 Temporary full-time faculty appointments are for a fixed term, usually of one year. The rank, salary, specific responsibilities, and benefits are outlined in the appointment letter. A faculty member hired on a temporary appointment may be a candidate for a regular three-year appointment, if such a position becomes available.

2.2.3 Adjunct faculty are hired primarily to teach and supplement the full-time faculty in a department. The rank, salary, term of service, and specific responsibilities are outlined in the appointment letter and are contingent upon enrollments. Adjunct faculty are evaluated for reappointment and eligible for promotion according to criteria and procedures outlined in Section 3. In the event an adjunct faculty member is subsequently hired on a full-time appointment, the time employed as an adjunct does not count as years of service.

2.2.4 Visiting faculty, typically on leave from another academic organization, hold fixed-term appointments of one or two semesters. Faculty who teach in the Executive MBA, Fulbright Scholars, and Balkan Scholars are all visiting faculty. Their rank, term of service, salary, benefits, and specific responsibilities are outlined in their appointment letter, incorporating all terms of the Faculty Handbook. Typically, visiting faculty hold a terminal degree. Faculty teaching in the EMBA are evaluated for reappointment according to the criteria and procedures outlined in Section 3.

## **2.3 Faculty Contracts**

The letter of appointment will specify the terms of the contract, incorporating the policies of the Faculty Handbook. Normally, all full-time faculty on regular appointments are expected to teach seven courses, engage in research or creative work, and provide service to the department, University, and profession. Faculty should be demonstrably full time and available to their students and colleagues over four days of the week.

2.3.1 In order to best meet the needs of AUBG, individual faculty may negotiate with the Provost to determine the relative effort that each faculty member will devote to teaching, scholarship, and service. In negotiating this agreement, individual faculty and the Provost will consider the particular skills of the faculty member, the resources available to the faculty member, and the needs of AUBG. All such agreements will be in writing and will be valid for one year and be renegotiated annually. When special circumstances arise, either party may offer to renegotiate the agreement sooner.

2.3.2 When contractual agreements exist which specify differing expectations for teaching load or in teaching, scholarship and creative activity, and service, the faculty member will make any such contractual agreements available to the Faculty Evaluation Team (FET). The FET will then evaluate the faculty member according to the conditions stated in the faculty member's contract. Such contractual agreements will also be taken into account in the promotion process.

## **2.4 Designation of Rank at the Time of Initial Appointment**

At the time of initial appointment, the Provost will determine the appropriate rank. The faculty member will be awarded a rank based on the rank achieved at another recognized academic institution or according to the guidelines below. Faculty rank granted through the Bulgarian High Attestation Commission may be recognized at the discretion of the administration.

2.4.1 Instructor: Advanced degree or professional experience, but does not possess the Ph.D. or terminal degree appropriate to the discipline.

2.4.2 Assistant Professor: Ph.D., terminal degree appropriate to the discipline, or equivalent experience, accomplishments, or qualifications. Evidence of potential for professional competence in teaching and research.

2.4.3 Associate Professor. Ph.D., terminal degree appropriate to the discipline, or equivalent experience, accomplishments, or qualifications. Proven ability and experience in teaching. Excellent record of research, publication, and/or substantial creative accomplishments or experience appropriate to the discipline, and substantial service to the profession.

2.4.4 Professor: Ph.D., terminal degree appropriate to the discipline, or equivalent experience, accomplishments or qualifications. Record of excellence in teaching, research, publication, and/or creative accomplishments. Record of service to the community.

## **2.5 Qualifications for Faculty in Graduate Programs**

As noted in the accreditation standards, faculty teaching at the graduate level are expected to have the academic qualifications, record of scholarly contributions, and professional experience relevant to teaching graduate students. Typically, this means a doctorate in the teaching field and a record of recent research and publication in the field. Faculty will be recommended by the department and approved by the Provost. Regular faculty teaching at the graduate level will be evaluated according to the procedures and frequency established for undergraduate faculty in the Faculty Handbook.

## **2.6 Probationary Period**

All initial appointments to either a temporary fixed-term or regular position are subject to a two (2) year probationary period during which the faculty member's contract may be terminated for any reason whatsoever, without any showing of cause, provided notice is given, by March 1st of their first year of appointment and by December 15th of their second year of appointment. During their probationary periods, faculty members are employees-at-will of the University and neither this Handbook nor any other document or publication will create any enforceable contractual rights in such employees. All references in any other section of this Handbook to the requirements for dismissal of faculty members will be subject to the provisions of this subsection and shall be applicable only after the completion of the faculty member's probationary period.

## **2.7 Contract Renewal for Temporary Fixed-Term Appointments**

The length of temporary fixed term-appointments may vary, although they should not normally be for more than two years. Temporary fixed-term appointments require no notification of or cause for non-renewal. The contractual relationship between the faculty member and the University automatically expires at the end of the term stated in the contract.

## **2.8 Contract Renewal for Regular Positions**

The determination of whether or not the contract of a faculty member in a regular position will be renewed will be based in part on evaluations of whether the faculty member has performed his/her contractual obligations effectively and in good faith; including teaching, engaging in scholarly and/or creative activity and taking an active part in University governance and community/professional service. The criteria for evaluating faculty performance in these areas are given below in Section 3. Faculty are also expected to abide by all applicable University policies.

### **2.8.1 Initial Three-Year Contract**

Regular faculty are generally hired on the basis of an initial three-year renewable contract. Full time faculty members on their first three-year contract will be evaluated according to the standards and procedures set forth in Section 3 in their second year of employment with AUBG. This contract may be renewed for an additional three years at the discretion of the President. Renewal of the initial three-year contract may be denied for any reason whatsoever without any showing of cause. Neither this Handbook nor any other document or publication will create any enforceable contractual rights in faculty to renewal of the initial three-year contract. Under no circumstances will a faculty member's expressions of academic freedom, extramural utterances, political activity and/or artistic expression constitute the motivating factor for non-renewal.

### **2.8.2 Second Three-Year Contract**

Faculty on their second three-year contract are reviewed according to the standards and procedures set forth in Section 3 in the next to the last year of their contract with AUBG. If the faculty member has met the standards for renewal set forth in those sections, the faculty member will be offered a new, renewable five-year contract effective upon the completion of six years of service. The President, however, may choose not to renew a contract for reasons related to financial exigency, curricular revision, or cause.

### **2.8.3 Continuing Employment on a Five-Year Contract**

Upon issuing a five-year contract, the University grants the faculty member continuing employment through the means of renewable five-year contracts. Five-year contracts are subject to periodic performance reviews according to the standards and procedures in Section 3. The renewal of such contracts requires the satisfaction of the standards set forth in those sections. If the faculty member has met the standards for renewal, upon completion of each five-year contract, and in the absence of cause, as defined in Section 3, the faculty member will be offered a new five-year contract subject to these same terms and conditions. The University can choose not to renew a five-year contract for reasons related to financial exigency or curricular revision.

## **2.9 Voluntary Termination of Employment**

A faculty member may voluntarily terminate his/her contract with the University by giving adequate notice in writing to the Provost. Notice should generally be given no later than March 1 for the following academic year or October 1 for the following semester.

## **2.10 Involuntary Termination of Employment During the First Six Years**

During the contract periods, after completion of the probationary period, faculty on renewable contracts may be dismissed for cause. As used in this Section and elsewhere in this Handbook, the terms "cause" and "for cause" shall mean cause as defined in the AAUP Policy Documents and Reports (2001), violations of the Faculty Code of Ethics as set forth above, violations of the AUBG Policies Manual, and such other just cause as determined by the President to warrant disciplinary action.

2.10.1 Faculty may be dismissed for cause during either of the initial two three year contracts after such cause has been proven in the context of an administrative hearing which has occurred according to AAUP standards of due process. Such administrative hearings (see Section 6.4) should respect the faculty member's rights to due process as defined by AAUP Policy Documents and Reports; (2001). Pending the conclusion of such proceedings, the faculty member may be suspended with or without pay.

2.10.2 Faculty may also be terminated for reasons of incompetent job performance or dereliction of duty (as described under Basic Responsibilities in Section 3.7). After completion of the probationary period, inadequate job performance during the three year contract periods will lead to termination of employment only after the faculty member has been given notice of inadequate job performance and has been given reasonable time to correct any such inadequacies.

2.10.3 Notice of involuntary termination of employment for incompetent job performance should be given by March 15 for termination effective at the end of the first year of employment and December 15 for termination effective at the end of the second year of employment. When adequate notice is not given, the faculty member's contract will be extended for an additional semester. Failure to provide notice by these dates will not, however, make the termination ineffective.

2.10.4 Faculty may also be terminated for reasons of financial exigency or curricular revision. (See Section 4.)

## **2.11 Involuntary Termination of Employment of Faculty with Continuing Employment.**

The University may choose not to renew contracts for faculty with continuing employment either for cause or for failure to meet the standards for renewal of such contracts. Faculty members on continuing five-year contracts may be terminated during the term of such contracts for cause subject to the procedures set forth in Section 6 or for reasons of financial exigency or curricular revision as set forth in Section 4.

## **2.12 Request for Resignation**

The Provost, or a duly constituted representative of the President may request the resignation of a faculty member when evidence exists which establishes a strong "prima facie" case of any of the conditions above in Section 2.10. The faculty member shall retain the right to a formal hearing or to file a grievance against any adverse administrative action. Requests for a faculty member's resignation will not be pursued without informal measures having been used to communicate to the faculty member what changes in the faculty member's conduct or job performance are required.

## **2.13 Leaves of Absence**

During the contract period, a faculty member may request a professional or personal leave. The Provost will determine whether the time on unpaid leave will count in the years of the contract, according to the interests of the University, and notify the faculty member in writing of the terms of the leave. The time on sabbatical will be a part of the period of service and count toward reappointment and promotion.

### **2.13.1 Unpaid Leaves of Absence**

Unpaid leaves of absence may be requested by any faculty member for personal or professional reasons. Unpaid leaves may be for a period of one semester or one academic year and may be renewed once. Requests for unpaid leaves of absence should be made, whenever possible, one semester in advance of the semester or academic year for which the leave is requested. Requests should be made in writing to the Provost and copied to the Department Chair. The Provost, Dean of Faculty, and the Department Chair will examine the curricular implications of the requested leave and determine if a suitable temporary replacement can be found. If the granting of the leave does not jeopardize the faculty member's program area, then the leave may be granted on the sole discretion of the President.

Unpaid leaves may also be requested for medical or family related emergencies. While in some cases the faculty member may not give adequate notice, it is expected that both the faculty member and administration will make reasonable efforts to accommodate each other.

The University will offer the faculty member on leave the opportunity to maintain his/her benefits. The faculty member will be required to reimburse the University for the cost to the University of the benefits, subject to all applicable laws.

### **2.13.2 Sabbatical Leaves**

After six years of full-time service at the University, faculty become eligible for sabbatical leave to be taken no earlier than their seventh year of employment and every seventh year following such a leave. Sabbaticals are not automatic. Leaves will be approved based on the merits of the application, prospects of completion, availability of funding, and the interests of the University.

Faculty must apply for the leave at least a year before it is to be taken. Compensation during a sabbatical leave shall be for half a year's salary for a one-year sabbatical leave and full salary for a one-semester leave. Bulgarian faculty members taking a sabbatical in a country where the cost of living is comparable to the U.S. may receive an additional stipend unless they are being supported through the host institution or an external agency.

Faculty are obligated to return to AUBG for a full year after their sabbatical or to repay the University for the salary received during the sabbatical period. A written report describing the results of the sabbatical must be submitted when the faculty member returns.

## **SECTION THREE: EVALUATION OF FACULTY**

### **3.1 Purpose of Evaluation**

Because faculty are evaluated with respect to the AUBG mission, faculty evaluation is both an individual and an institutional matter. It has two basic aims: to assist faculty development and to meet institutional needs. As an institution, AUBG places first emphasis on teaching, but also expects all regular faculty members to demonstrate an appropriate level of engagement both in scholarly or creative work and in service to the institution or to its mission in the broader community.

### **3.2 Evaluation of Faculty on Fixed-Term Contracts**

Evaluation of faculty on temporary fixed-term contracts is strictly voluntary. However, faculty on fixed-term appointments who wish to have their contracts extended should be evaluated by the Faculty Evaluation Team (FET). The FET will recommend contract renewal if a faculty member in his/her first fixed-term contract is rated “professionally competent” in teaching. Renewal of fixed-term appointments is at the discretion of the administration.

### **3.3 Evaluation of Faculty on Renewable Contracts**

All faculty on regular full-time appointments are subject to periodic evaluation by the FET, regardless of whether the faculty member is asking for contract renewal.

3.3.1 Full-time faculty members on their first three-year contract will be evaluated after their third semester. The FET will evaluate the extent to which the individual’s performance is professionally competent in each of the three areas of evaluation – teaching, scholarship, and service.

- Determination of professional competence in each of the three areas of evaluation will be grounds for a recommendation of contract renewal.
- Determination that the faculty member is ineffective in any of the three areas of evaluation may be grounds for a recommendation of non-renewal or a one-year extension to address the problem.
- Determination that the faculty member is remiss in any of the three areas of evaluation will be grounds for a recommendation of non-renewal.

3.3.2 Faculty members on their second three-year contract or on a five-year contract will be evaluated during the year before the last year of their existing contract. The FET will evaluate the extent to which the individual is professionally competent in each of the three areas of evaluation, teaching, scholarship and creative activity, and service. Further, the FET will judge the extent to which the individual has demonstrated an excellent level of achievement in teaching.

- Determination of professional competence in research and creative activity and service and achievement of excellence in teaching will be grounds for a recommendation of a five-year contract.
- Failure to achieve professional competence in one or more of the three areas would serve as grounds for a recommendation for non-renewal.
- Any other case will be handled at the discretion of the FET.

### **3.4 Evaluation of Adjunct Faculty**

Adjunct faculty should be evaluated for professional competence in teaching after three semesters of teaching and prior to being reappointed for a fourth semester. Thereafter, evaluation will take place not less than once every six semesters. Renewal of adjunct faculty is at the discretion of the administration. The evaluation procedures are outlined in Section 3.13.

### **3.5 Evaluation of Visiting Faculty in the Executive MBA Program**

Visiting faculty teaching in the Executive MBA program will be evaluated after each semester and before reappointment. The evaluation procedures are outlined in Section 3.14.

### **3.6 Faculty Evaluation Criteria**

Based on materials prepared by the faculty member, the Faculty Evaluation Team will evaluate the individual and assign one of the following assessments for the three areas of teaching, research, and service.

3.6.1 REMISS: The faculty member being evaluated fails to demonstrate that basic academic duties are being fulfilled. Guidelines for basic academic responsibilities in each area of evaluation are given below in Section 3.7.

3.6.2 INEFFECTIVE: The faculty member being evaluated demonstrates that basic responsibilities are being fulfilled in this area of evaluation, but fails to demonstrate that he or she has achieved professional competence in this area of evaluation. Guidelines for professional competence in each area of evaluation are given below in Section 3.8.

3.6.3 PROFESSIONALLY COMPETENT: The faculty member being evaluated demonstrates that basic responsibilities are being fulfilled in accordance with institutional expectations for professional competence. Guidelines for professional competence in each area of evaluation are given below in Section 3.8.

3.6.4 EXCELLENT: AUBG expects all continuing full-time faculty to develop a specific expertise in at least one area of evaluation. This expertise will be judged on the basis of a consistent record of excellent achievement in an area of evaluation. Guidelines for excellent achievement in each area of evaluation are given below in Section 3.9.

### **3.7 Basic Responsibilities of Faculty**

All faculty are expected to fulfill the following responsibilities. All items in each area of performance apply. Failure to meet these responsibilities will result in an evaluation of remiss or ineffective. The Department Chair, Dean of Faculty, or Provost may note at any time when basic responsibilities are not being met and inform the faculty member of the need to address the concerns and the time limit for correcting the inadequacies.

#### **3.7.1 TEACHING:**

- Each faculty member should distribute a syllabus to all students outlining the goals of each course, the assignments, and grading policies by the end of the first week of class.
- Each faculty member should have appropriate activities for scheduled class meeting times. The faculty member should arrange to make-up or have missed classes covered. The Faculty Office should be informed of any cancelled class, if possible, before the class is cancelled.
- Grades should be reported in a timely manner.
- Appropriate assessment should be undertaken in all classes. Assessment or the reporting of assessment to students should be scheduled for the final exam period unless the Dean of Faculty has been provided with an appropriate academic justification for an alternative schedule.
- Faculty members should make themselves available for reasonable consultation with students.
- Student evaluations will be conducted for each class each semester.
- Each faculty member should have at least two peer reviews for the evaluation period done.
- Each faculty member should be available to meet with advisees and/or students.
- Each faculty member should abide by all Academic Policies as described in the Undergraduate Catalog and/or EMBA policy documents with regard to such matters as academic integrity, grading, incompletes, and exams schedules.

### 3.7.2 RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY

- Each faculty member should be engaged in appropriate professional organizations in their disciplines.
- Each faculty member should demonstrate on-going work on appropriate research projects or creative activity in their disciplines.

### 3.7.3 SERVICE:

- Each faculty member should participate in an individually determined service activity that promotes the fulfilment of AUBG's mission. Such activities could include, but are not limited to, working on an AUBG University or faculty committee, serving as an officer of the Faculty Assembly, serving as department chair, serving as student advisors, advising the student government or other student organizations, or contributing to outreach education in the greater community.
- Each faculty member should participate in the following types of activities: academic meetings, in-service professional advancement activities, official University functions, faculty orientation, Honors Convocation, Commencement, and student placement activities.
- Each faculty member should engage in appropriate workshops, conferences, and programs at AUBG.

### 3.8 Professionally Competent Performance All of the following apply in each category.

#### 3.8.1 TEACHING: All of the following are considered:

- The course syllabi, the sample course materials, assignments, exams, and graded student work, and the grading policies and results reflect a level of academic rigor appropriate to the course and indicate a commitment to promoting students' active learning.
- Student evaluations are consistently near the University norm. The evaluations will be analyzed in the context of other factors such as grading policies, rigor of courses, required vs. elective courses, senior vs. first-year students, time of class meetings, and deviations of discipline norm from the university norm.
- The faculty member demonstrates responsiveness to student evaluations by indicating how he or she has considered students' recommendations or is actively addressing any significant or recurring concerns raised by students.
- The peer review letters identify areas of strength in the faculty member's teaching which encourage student learning.
- Other evidence of effective teaching or innovation in the classroom indicates the faculty member's success in fostering student learning.

#### 3.8.2 RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY:

- The faculty member has actively participated in professional organizations in the discipline including participation in professional workshops, symposiums, conferences.
- The faculty member demonstrates tangible products of on-going research projects or creative activity. These would include working papers, performances, or other pieces of professional written work appropriate to the discipline.
- The faculty member has made presentations of conference papers, published book reviews, and/or published in non-refereed proceedings of conferences.
- The faculty member has organized a workshop for faculty or student research at AUBG or has undertaken other work, which has demonstrably facilitated faculty research within AUBG.

### 3.8.3 SERVICE:

- The service commitment of the individual demonstrably contributes to the fulfillment of AUBG's mission. As the mission of the University is quite broad, a number of different activities would be considered as fulfilling the service obligation of the faculty member. The faculty member documents tangible outcomes of the service activities.

## 3.9 Excellent Performance

### 3.9.1 TEACHING: All of the following are considered:

- The course syllabi, the sample course materials, assignments, exams, and graded student work, and the grading policies and results reflect a level of academic rigor appropriate to the course and indicate a high level of academic quality and / or success in promoting students' active learning.
- The student evaluation results are consistently on or above the university norm, when other factors (grading policies, rigor of courses, required vs. elective courses, senior vs. first-year students, time of class meetings, deviations of discipline norm from the university norm) that influence student evaluation results are considered.
- The faculty member demonstrates responsiveness to student evaluations by indicating how he or she has considered students' recommendations or has effectively addressed any significant or recurring concerns raised by students.
- The peer review letters identify significant strengths in the faculty member's teaching which promote student learning.
- The faculty member has demonstrated significant innovation in the area of student learning at AUBG.
- The faculty member has performed significant work with students extending their learning outside traditional class-work at AUBG.
- Evidence of other accomplishments in the area of teaching indicates a significantly high level of achievement in fostering student learning.

### 3.9.2 RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY: Some of the following apply.

- The faculty member has published article(s) in peer reviewed journals, has published book(s) in a manner appropriate to the discipline, has had a positive review of a submitted grant application, or has published other work appropriate to the discipline.
- The faculty member has organized professional conferences.
- The faculty member has performed work for the professional community in his/her discipline or has provided a service to the larger community such as, but not limited to, conducting professional seminars.
- The faculty member has conducted research in teaching or teaching methodology, which has been accepted within the larger academic community.
- The faculty member has other accomplishments, which indicate a significant level of scholarly achievement.

### 3.9.3 SERVICE: Some of the following apply.

- The individual has served as an advisor to a student organization and has made a substantial contribution to the organization or productivity of that organization.
- The individual has worked on Faculty Assembly or University committees or has served as an officer of the Faculty Assembly and has made a substantial contribution to the work of that committee or assembly.
- The individual has made a consistent or substantial contribution to the organization and productivity of an academic unit within the University such as, but not limited to, activities and projects of the teaching resource center, a department, or discipline.

- The individual has made a consistent or substantial contribution to the organization and/or productivity of a community service initiative.

### **3.10 Selection of the Faculty Evaluation Team**

The Faculty Evaluation Team (FET) selection procedure is designed to provide broad representation from among the full-time faculty but cannot ensure representation of all departments or disciplines.

3.10.1 All members of the FET must be current members of the Faculty Assembly (FA) on regular-term contracts who have undergone positive evaluation at AUBG in the three areas of evaluation, who have not been refused a contract renewal as applied for in the most recent evaluation, and who are not currently being considered for evaluation or promotion. No individual can serve more than two consecutive years.

3.10.2 The FET will have five members and one alternate member elected by the FA in a secret ballot during the Fall semester. The first three members will be elected from among the most senior eligible members of each of the departments. Seniority will be determined first by rank, then years in rank, then years in academia. Any questions about seniority will be arbitrated by the Provost. The two additional members of the FET and the alternate will be elected from among all eligible members of the FA.

3.10.3 Every faculty member under review has the right to exclude one FET member from the process. In such cases, the elected alternate will serve in the excluded member's place. The alternate can be present at any other meetings of the FET at the discretion of the FET chair.

3.10.4 It is a matter of professional ethics to avoid conflicts of interest. A faculty member who has a personal relationship with the faculty member being evaluated should not write a peer review or participate in the evaluation process. Both individuals on the FET and faculty members being evaluated may request that the alternate FET member serve. All requests for the alternate to serve in place of an excluded FET member should be submitted in writing by the first day of the spring semester and officially approved in writing by the Provost before any dossiers are evaluated.

### **3.11 Objective Evidence for Evaluation**

All faculty members must submit an evaluation dossier to the office of the Provost according to the terms of their contract, or when seeking promotion. Faculty may seek the help of the Office of the Provost, well in advance of the deadline for submission, for materials to include in the faculty evaluation dossier. However, the faculty member is primarily responsible for providing copies of teaching evaluations, syllabi, previous FET evaluations, and other appropriate materials.

3.11.1 Faculty members are responsible for submitting a complete dossier with a signed checklist as the first page. In this way, they show their approval that this is the dossier upon which they wish to be evaluated. Faculty who fail to submit a full dossier by the deadline will be evaluated on what is available. The last day to submit a file for evaluation is the last day of the first week of the spring semester.

3.11.2 It is upon the contents of this dossier that the Faculty Evaluation Team (FET) will base its evaluation and its recommendation for or against contract renewal to the Provost. The FET may contact the faculty member up for evaluation if there are any questions that need clarification, but has no obligation to do so. Communication between the FET and the faculty member being evaluated should be done only through the Office of the Provost.

3.11.3 During the first half of the fall semester, the Faculty Evaluation Committee will hold a dossier preparation workshop for all faculty being reviewed and any other faculty members interested in attending.

3.11.4 The faculty member should formally request reappointment and provide a letter of self-evaluation addressing the three areas of evaluation. In addition, a vita that is an up-to-date detailed and cumulative list of academic and personal accomplishments and the FET recommendation, the Provost's recommendation and the

President's recommendation from the last evaluation for contract renewal at AUBG should be included in the dossier.

3.11.5 In support of teaching competence the faculty member shall provide a list of the courses taught each semester during the current evaluation period with student enrollment numbers for each course and indications of which courses are designated WIC; syllabi for all courses taught during the evaluation period; student evaluation results for all courses taught during the evaluation period along with the University and discipline or departmental average results; and grade tapes for all courses taught during the evaluation period. In addition, the faculty member should provide samples of significant class assignments, examinations, and graded work of students from a variety of classes taught during the evaluation period.

3.11.6 The dossier must also include at least two peer reviews for the evaluation period, conducted by faculty members in the discipline or related field. The review should be based on a class visit, and address the syllabus, exams, and rigor of the course as well as the instructor's performance and the students' response in the class visited. The peer review should be submitted to the faculty member and the FET through the Provost's Office in a sealed envelope for confidentiality. The faculty member has the right to respond to the peer evaluation.

3.11.7 In support of research, scholarship, and creative activity, the faculty member should provide: samples of work, lists of papers and presentations, examples of publications (indicating whether or not they are refereed), evidence of attendance and presentations at conferences, and other examples of activities aimed at contributing to the discipline or profession.

3.11.8 In support of service to the University and to the profession, the faculty member should include lists of activities, an analysis of contributions, and any letters or memoranda received recognizing the faculty member's contributions to service activities.

3.11.9 The faculty member may include any other material that he or she feels will assist the FET in making an objective evaluation of the faculty member's effectiveness in teaching, research, and service.

### **3.12 Full-Time Faculty Evaluation Procedures**

The full-time faculty evaluation procedures for both contract renewal and promotion include several stages of review.

#### **3.12.1 Faculty Evaluation Team's Evaluation and Recommendation**

For each faculty member under evaluation, the FET will review all dossier contents and write a letter to the evaluatee and the Provost summarizing the FET's findings. The FET will evaluate the faculty member's performance according to the rankings defined in Section 3.6 above, with a narrative describing the strengths and weaknesses of the faculty member's performance in each area. The FET will include in this letter a recommendation to the Provost whether or not to renew the faculty member's contract. The FET may recommend a short-term renewal to allow the faculty member to address a particular weakness in performance identified by the FET. The faculty member has the right to respond to the FET evaluation. The FET letter and faculty member response (if any) become part of the evaluation dossier. When the FET has finished all the evaluations, it will forward copies of the evaluation letters, all dossiers, and faculty responses to the Provost.

#### **3.12.2 Provost's Recommendation**

For each faculty member under evaluation, the Provost will review all dossier contents including any faculty member response and will make a written recommendation to the President for or against reappointment or promotion. The Provost's recommendation will be based on: the dossier, the FET's evaluation, the faculty member's response, if any, to the FET, and his/her own evaluation of the faculty member's contribution in relation to AUBG's mission. The faculty member has the right to respond to the

Provost's evaluation. This response, if any, will accompany the Provost's recommendation to the President.

### 3.12.3 President's Action

The President retains the sole and final authority to renew contracts or to offer promotion. If the President does not concur with the recommendation of the FET and/or the Provost, she/he should state the reasons as provided in the AAUP guidelines. The faculty member has the right to request reconsideration of the President's decision as described in Section 6.1.2.

## 3.13 Adjunct Faculty Evaluation Procedures

Each adjunct faculty member will be initially evaluated after 3 semesters of teaching and thereafter, every 6 semesters based on a dossier that includes a self evaluation letter, the Department Evaluation Team (DET) recommendation and the Provost's recommendation from the most recent evaluation (if available), a current curriculum vitae, syllabi for all courses taught during the evaluation period, student evaluation results for all courses taught during the evaluation period, grade tapes for all courses taught during the evaluation period, and two teaching evaluations by peers. One of the evaluations must be from a member of the department and the other from outside the department. Both evaluators will be nominated by the faculty member under evaluation, subject to the approval of the department. The letters will be forwarded to the Department Chair with copies to the faculty member under evaluation.

### 3.13.1 Evaluation by the Department Evaluation Team

The department chair will form a Department Evaluation Team (DET) consisting of the chair and two other full-time faculty members, at least one of whom should be from the same department. The same team will perform all evaluations of the department's adjunct faculty members in a given year. The DET will rate the teaching performance of the candidate according to the adopted scale in Section 3.6 based on the peer review(s), the dossier (including the letter and supporting documentation), and the student evaluations. The DET will recommend for or against renewal to the Provost on the basis of the teaching performance and department needs. At each evaluation, the adjunct faculty member has the right to respond to the department evaluation in a letter to the Provost.

### 3.13.2 Evaluation by the Provost and President

The recommendation and all evaluation materials will be forwarded to the Provost. The Provost makes a recommendation to the President who will make the final decision for or against renewal.

## 3.14 Visiting Faculty Evaluation Procedures

Visiting faculty teaching in the Executive MBA program will be evaluated after each semester and before reappointment in two categories: 1) teaching and 2) professional, scholarly, and creative activities. The faculty member will submit a letter requesting consideration for reappointment, including a statement of professional, scholarly, and creative activities with supporting documentation, and an evaluation dossier containing the following: a current curriculum vita, the syllabus for the course taught during the evaluation period, the student evaluations, and the grade distribution for the course taught during the evaluation period. There will be at least one peer evaluation by a full-time AUBG faculty member. The evaluator may be nominated by the candidate subject to the approval of the Department Chairperson, or, if requested, be named by the Department Chair. The evaluation letter will be forwarded to the Department Chair, with copies to the candidate.

### 3.14.1 Evaluation by the Department Evaluation Team

The department chair will form a Department Evaluation Team (DET) consisting of the chair and two other full-time faculty members, at least one of whom should be from the same department. The same team will

perform all evaluations of the department's visiting faculty members in a given year. The DET will rate the teaching performance of the candidate according to the adopted scale and criteria in Section 3 based on the peer review(s), the dossier (including the letter and supporting documentation), and the student evaluations. The DET will provide both evaluative and developmental feedback, and will recommend for or against renewal on the basis of teaching performance and professional and scholarly activities. The recommendation and all evaluation materials will be forwarded to the Provost.

#### 3.14.2 Evaluation by the Provost and President

The recommendation and all evaluation materials will be forwarded to the Provost. The Provost makes a recommendation to the President who will make the final decision for or against renewal. The faculty member will have the opportunity to respond in writing at each stage

### 3.15 Timetable for Faculty Evaluation and Recommendations

The timetable for faculty evaluation and recommendations is designed to ensure that faculty receive a timely review and that all participants in the process have sufficient time for deliberations. Any changes in the timetable must be agreed to in writing prior to beginning the review process. In years when there are a large number of cases to be reviewed, the FET and the Provost may agree to a change in the calendar and inform faculty in writing of the change.

3.15.1 The faculty evaluation process for regular full-time appointments should proceed according to the following timetable:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| • Second FA meeting of fall semester         | FA election of FET   |
| • December 1                                 | Peer Review letters due  |
| • December 15                                | Letter indicating interest in promotion                                      |
| • Last day of first week of the 2nd semester | Evaluation dossiers due (including any faculty response to the peer reviews) |
| • March 1                                    | FET recommendation letters due   |
| • March 15                                   | Faculty response (if any) to FET letter due                                  |
| • March 30                                   | Provost's recommendation due   |
| • April 5                                    | Faculty response to Provost's letter due                                     |
| • April 15                                   | President's decision due   |

3.15.2 When the adjunct and/or visiting EMBA faculty member is up for evaluation, the Provost, the Department Chair, and the faculty member should sign an agreement for a timetable of the evaluation.

### 3.16 Promotion Eligibility and Criteria for Full Time and Adjunct Faculty

While it is assumed that all faculty members conduct themselves conscientiously and professionally in the three areas of teaching, scholarship and service, promotion is dependent on a combination of excellent and professionally competent ratings as indicated below. However, because each individual faculty member's relative contributions will be unique, depending on his/her talents and disciplinary interests, the FET, the Provost, and the President are expected to evaluate the candidate's overall performance and to recommend for or against promotion according to the candidate's suitability for the academic rank as described and defined below with regard to both years of service and level of performance.

3.16.1 For promotion to Assistant Professor, the faculty member must have a Ph.D., terminal degree appropriate to the discipline, or equivalent experience; one year of employment at AUBG; and professionally competent ratings in all areas. Adjunct faculty must have taught at least four sections.

3.16.2 For promotion to Associate Professor, the faculty member must have a Ph.D., terminal degree appropriate to the discipline, or equivalent professional experience; five years as Assistant Professor, four of which have been at AUBG; an excellent rating in teaching; and ratings of at least professionally

competent in research or creative activity and in service. Adjunct faculty must have taught at least 16 sections and served at least five years as Assistant Professor.

3.16.3 For promotion to Full Professor, the faculty member must have a Ph.D., terminal degree appropriate to the discipline, or equivalent professional experience; six years as Associate Professor, five of which have been at AUBG; a continuing and substantial record of research, publications, and professional activity; substantial and sustained service to the professional community, especially in leadership positions; and excellent ratings in two evaluation categories, one of which must be teaching. Adjunct faculty must have taught at least 16 sections and served at least six years as Associate Professor. For promotion to full professor, a rating of professionally competent performance in scholarship requires work published in refereed venues or demonstrably equivalent work, as appropriate to the discipline.

3.16.4 Alternative to the criteria above, an adjunct faculty member becomes eligible for consideration for promotion to a higher rank if he/she has reached the equivalent of that rank in his/her home institution.

### **3.17 Promotion Procedures**

Application for promotion may be made at any time. Consideration for promotion follows the same timetable and procedures as for other evaluations except with regard to seeking external evaluations.

#### **3.17.1 Early Promotion**

In cases of exceptional merit a faculty member may apply for early promotion. Faculty will state their case in writing to the Provost at least one month before the deadline for dossiers and prior to going to the FET. If the Provost agrees to waive the years of service requirement, years of service will not be considered negatively in evaluation recommendations. Waiving of requirements of years in service will be at the Provost's discretion. In cases where prior contractual understandings regarding early promotion were negotiated at the time of hiring, the contractual understanding will determine the relevancy of years in service. If the application for early promotion is rejected by the Provost, the FET will not consider either the application or its rejection in a subsequent evaluation.

#### **3.17.2 External Letters of Evaluation**

Faculty seeking promotion to Associate Professor should prepare a full dossier by the appropriate deadline. In addition to the regular contents of the evaluation dossier, the candidate for promotion to Associate Professor must request three letters of recommendation from outside reviewers regarding research, publication, or professional activity. The reviewers' names should be submitted in writing to the Provost no later than November 15 in order to allow sufficient time for the Provost to request the external letters of evaluation. The Provost will send a current c.v. and samples of work to the three persons on the list. The letters should be sent directly to the Provost's Office.

Faculty seeking promotion to Professor should prepare a full dossier by the appropriate deadline. In addition to the regular contents of the evaluation dossier, the candidate for promotion to Professor should submit the names of five outside reviewers regarding research, publications, and professional activity. The names should be submitted in writing to the Provost no later than November 15 in order to allow sufficient time for the Provost to request the external letters of evaluation. The Provost will send a current c.v. and samples of work to three of the persons on the list. The letters should be sent directly to the Provost's Office.

## **SECTION FOUR: FINANCIAL EXIGENCY AND CURRICULAR REVISION**

### **4.1 Financial Exigency**

The University has the right to reorganize its academic programs when financial constraints necessitate such a process. When such a circumstance occurs, the faculty should be consulted to determine its collective thoughts regarding such restructuring. However, the legal and fiduciary interests of the President and the Board require

that final decisions rest with the President and the Board. The following procedures are intended to set up a process whereby faculty input may be constructively used to advise the President and Board as they arrive at a workable restructuring of the University's academic programs when financial constraints require such restructuring. However, the President and the Board are not required to utilize these procedures.

4.1.1 Upon receipt of notice from the Board and the President that financial constraints will necessitate restructuring of academic programs, the Provost will consult with the Dean's Advisory Council to determine which positions and/or programs, if any, will be eliminated or reduced in size and scope.

4.1.2 The final decision as to which programs and/or positions will be eliminated lies with the Board, acting on recommendations of the President, Provost, and Faculty Assembly. It is expected that the Provost will meet with the Curriculum Committee to consider recommendations for program changes. The Curriculum Committee will propose a restructuring plan to the Faculty Assembly which will recommend a course of action to the Provost.

4.1.3 The Provost will then consult with the President, and upon the approval of the Board and President, take such steps as are necessary to carry out the restructuring.

4.1.4 When positions or programs are eliminated, faculty will be retained according to seniority and teaching competence in remaining positions. Seniority shall be defined as in Section 4.3.

## **4.2 Curricular Revision**

All universities must periodically review their curriculum and student enrollments to determine the need for a given program area or for positions in that area. Immediate responsibility for the curriculum lies primarily with the faculty and the Provost subject to Board approval.

4.2.1 In the event that the Provost proposes a revision of the curriculum, his/her proposal shall go to the Curriculum Committee for review. After reviewing the Provost's proposal, the Curriculum Committee shall make a recommendation to the Faculty Assembly, which, in turn, shall make a recommendation to the Provost and the President.

4.2.2 When curricular revisions are made and positions eliminated, faculty shall be retained according to seniority and relative to ability to teach in a given area. Seniority shall be defined as in section 4.3.

## **4.3 Definition of Seniority**

Seniority for purposes of financial exigency and curricular revision is defined as follows in descending order: Full Professors on five-year contracts, Associate Professors on five-year contracts, Assistant Professors on five-year contracts; Full Professors in their second three-year contract, Associate Professors in their second three-year contract, Assistant Professors in their second three-year contract, Full Professors in their first three-year contract, Associate Professors in their first three-year contract, Assistant Professors in their first three-year contract, and Instructors in their first three-year contract. Should there be a need for further definition within any particular group, seniority will be based on length of service to AUBG.

# **SECTION FIVE: UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC ORGANIZATION**

## **5.1 Academic Organization of the Faculty**

The faculty is organized by departments with assignment based on programs and curricula. Although a faculty member may teach in more than one program or meet with more than one department, for purposes of conducting department business he/she may vote only in the home department.

## **5.2 Departmental Structure**

The curriculum of the University is organized according to the following departments. Each department is responsible for the management and development of minor and major programs, as well as contributions to the General Education program, as appropriate.

- Department of Arts, Languages, and Literature
- Department of Business
- Department of Computer Science
- Department of Economics
- Department of History and Southeast European Studies
- Department of Journalism and Mass Communication
- Department of Mathematics and Science
- Department of Politics and European Studies

### **5.3 Administration of the Department**

Each department is headed by a department chair who provides academic leadership for the department. The chair should hold formal meetings and keep a written record of decisions, as appropriate. The chair is responsible for the following academic and managerial responsibilities and participates in the Dean's Council. The appointment of program coordinators is at the discretion of the chair in consultation with the Dean of Faculty.

#### 5.3.1 Curriculum Related Responsibilities:

- oversee development of the self-study for program review and Bulgarian Program Accreditation;
- oversee evaluation of the curriculum and curriculum development proposals;
- in consultation with other department chairs, recommend cross listing of courses;
- oversee recommendations for General Education courses consistent with the approved guidelines for General Education courses;
- oversee the design, conduct, proctoring, and evaluation of Bulgarian State Exams.

#### 5.3.2 Faculty Related Responsibilities:

- establish the schedule of courses and distribution of teaching assignments to faculty members, both are done in consultation with department faculty and the Dean of Faculty;
- represent the hiring needs of the department to the Provost and take primary responsibility in the search and recruitment process. They consult with the faculty in the department in the review of applicants and report the results of these deliberations to the Dean of Faculty and Provost in making a recommendation to hire;
- identify and periodically evaluate adjunct faculty according to established criteria and procedures.

#### 5.3.3 Student Related Responsibilities:

- supervise the advising of majors;
- encourage co-curricular activities;
- approve transfer credit and make recommendations to the Dean of Faculty;
- oversee preparation of reports on student outcomes assessment.

### **5.4 Selection and Appointment of Department Chairs**

Each department chair is elected by a majority within the department and approved by the President for a two-year term. Only full-time faculty members are eligible to serve as department chairs. The selection should be based on rotation among disciplines within multi-disciplinary departments and among individual faculty. If the President does not approve the proposed department chair, a new election is held. Elections will be held in April.

5.4.1 If the department chair must vacate his/her office for any reason for a semester or less, he/she and the Dean of Faculty shall jointly appoint a temporary replacement until an election can be held to complete the

term.

5.4.2 Should a department wish to change its chair before the expiration of the term, it may call for a vote of no confidence and request in writing to the Dean of Faculty that the department chair be removed and another appointed by the procedure outlined above. A vote of no confidence requires a two-thirds majority of votes cast by members of the department eligible to vote in the Faculty Assembly, and the voting will be held under the supervision of the Dean of Faculty.

## **SECTION SIX: APPEALS, GRIEVANCE, AND HEARING PROCEDURES**

### **6.1 Faculty Appeals**

An appeal is a request to review an adverse decision related to evaluation, contract renewal, promotion, or termination. Typically, the bases for an appeal are violation of academic freedom, violation of due process, or misapplication of policy. The appeal is not meant to challenge the merits of current policies and procedures.

#### **6.1.1 Appeals During the Evaluation Process**

If a faculty member disagrees with the written evaluation of the FET, the faculty member shall have the right to make a written reply, which will become a part of the evaluation dossier.

If a faculty member disagrees with the written recommendation of the Provost, the faculty member shall have the right to make a written reply, which will become a part of the evaluation dossier.

#### **6.1.2 Appeal of the Final Decision**

Following the final decision, if a faculty member disagrees with the final decision, the faculty member may make a written request for reconsideration based upon new relevant and material evidence, such as that related to teaching, scholarship or service, which was unavailable to the faculty member at the time the dossier was submitted to the FET. Any such request for reconsideration must be made to the President in writing ten working (10) days after the final decision has been received.

### **6.2 Faculty Grievances**

A grievance is a complaint based upon a violation of a right while an appeal is a request to review an adverse decision. Use of the grievance procedures does not preclude the right of a faculty member to seek legal counsel, or to seek to obtain redress of an alleged grievance through formal legal action.

#### **6.2.1 Faculty Grievance Against Administrative Action**

Any administrative action that allegedly violates academic freedom or the faculty member's rights as set forth in this handbook is grievable. All grievance procedures must adhere to AAUP standards of due process for hearing of grievances. Confidentiality of all parties involved in grievance procedures must be respected.

#### **6.2.2 Grieving the Decision of the Faculty Evaluation Team**

Faculty may not grieve the decision of the Faculty Evaluation Team. If the faculty member has evidence that the FET acted negligently or inappropriately, and that such actions on the part of the FET led to an unfair administrative action, the actions of the FET may be used as evidence in filing an appeal of the administrative action resulting from the FET recommendation.

#### **6.2.3 Informal Grievance Procedures**

When an administrative action negatively impacts a faculty member, the faculty member may elect to attempt to resolve the matter through informal procedures such as consultation with the Provost or any other appropriate administrator. The purpose of the informal procedures is to give both faculty and administrators a chance to resolve differences in a forum where both parties can agree to a mutually acceptable resolution. When this resolution is agreed to, a written statement from the Provost, and the faculty member's acknowledgement of the resolution shall be entered into the faculty member's file with the consent of the faculty member.

#### 6.2.4 Formal Grievance Procedures

When a faculty member is unable to resolve an alleged grievance informally, or has reason to believe that use of the informal process would compromise his/her case, he/she may start a formal procedure by filing a written grievance to the Faculty Grievance Committee within twenty (20) business days of the event giving rise to the grievance. The faculty member will state in writing the nature of the grievance and the redress being sought. In doing so, the faculty member will refer in writing to the evidence which supports his/her claim and provide the Grievance Committee with all evidence referred to in the original complaint. It is important to note that in all grievances the person bringing the grievance must establish that there is a preponderance of evidence in support of his/her claim. The burden of proof rests on the grievant.

#### 6.2.5 Grievance Committee Procedures

The Faculty Grievance Committee will review the evidence presented and request a written response from the administrator against whom the grievance was filed. The Grievance Committee may solicit any evidence that is of relevance to the case, provided all parties to the grievance are given the opportunity to review and respond to the evidence. The Grievance Committee will review all evidence and either convene a formal hearing or dismiss the grievance.

#### 6.2.6 Grievance Committee Recommendations

After reviewing all the evidence and hearing testimony, the Grievance Committee will make a written recommendation to the President. The grievant will be given a copy of this letter and, if the grievant chooses, may submit a written response to the President within twenty (20) business days of receipt of the response. The President will review the recommendation, and the response of the grievant, if any, and respond in writing to both the grievant and the committee. If the President agrees with the judgement of the Grievance Committee, the President's response need only indicate that he/she is in agreement with the committee. If the President disagrees with the Grievance Committee then the President will specify in detail the reasons for his/her disagreement with the Grievance Committee.

#### 6.2.7 Request for Arbitration of the President's Decision

If the grievant is dissatisfied with the President's final decision, the grievant may request that the President submit to outside arbitration within twenty (20) business days of receipt of the President's decision. The President may do so if he/she believes such arbitration is appropriate but is under no obligation to engage in outside arbitration. When arbitration is agreed to by both parties, the terms of arbitration will be negotiated between the President and the grievant or their duly appointed representatives.

#### 6.2.8 Final Authority of President

The Grievance Committee's responsibility is to recommend a course of action to the President. The President has the final authority in all grievance and internal administrative hearings (as outlined in section 6.3) to render a judgement.

#### 6.2.9 Time Limits

The time limits set forth herein are mandatory and may be waived only by mutual agreement of the parties.

#### 6.2.10 Records of Grievances and Resolutions

When a formal grievance is resolved, the President shall prepare a written record and, along with the faculty member's acknowledgement of the resolution, place it in the faculty member's file with the consent of the faculty member.

### **6.3 Faculty Grievance Against the President**

The grievance procedure outlined in section 6.2 may not be used if the grievance is against an action of the President. Any grievance filed against the President, individually, shall be filed with the Chairman of the Board. The Chairman will determine whether the grievance should appropriately be filed against the President or the administration. If it is not appropriate that the grievance be filed against the President, the grievance shall be dismissed. If the grievance is appropriately filed against the President, the Chairman will assemble a Body to have final authority. This Body will consist of the Chairman and a faculty member and a member of the administration appointed by the Chairman. The Chairman shall give the grievant and the President notice in writing that the Body has been convened. The President shall have an opportunity to respond in writing to the grievance. Such response shall be submitted to the Chairman within 20 business days of receiving notice from the Chairman that the Body has been convened. A decision will be made by agreement of at least two out of three members of the Body and shall be issued in written form to both the grievant and the President. The Body will have final authority in all grievances filed against the President, individually, to render a judgement.

### **6.4 Faculty Grievance against Another Faculty Member**

When a faculty member engages in behaviour that is alleged to be in violation of the Faculty Code of Ethics in Section 1, and that behaviour directly impacts a fellow faculty member, faculty may make use of informal and formal proceedings. Normally, faculty should first attempt to resolve the matter with the individual in question in an informal setting that makes use of the faculty ombudsperson or the Provost. In cases where the behaviour is alleged to be particularly egregious however, faculty may elect to file a formal grievance or request an internal administrative hearing. Resort to AUBG's grievance procedure does not preclude the right to legal counsel or the right to initiate legal action. All formal and informal grievance procedures must respect the right of all parties to confidentiality and due process. In filing a grievance, it is important to note that a preponderance of evidence must be shown, and that the burden of proof rests on the grievant.

#### **6.4.2 Informal Procedures**

In most cases involving faculty-faculty interaction every effort should first be made to resolve the matter in an informal, collegial setting. The role of the faculty ombudsperson is to facilitate communication between faculty and to suggest fruitful, and mutually agreeable resolutions to faculty-faculty conflicts.

#### **6.4.3 Verbal Warning**

When a successful resolution cannot be agreed to with the mediation of the ombudsperson, or the allegation is considered egregious, faculty may take the matter to the Provost's office within twenty (20) business days of the event giving rise to the grievance. The Provost will review the matter and suggest a resolution. If a successful resolution cannot be agreed upon, and the Provost believes that the actions of one, or both parties was in violation of the Faculty Code of Ethics, the Provost will issue a verbal warning to the faculty member and suggest how the offending party should alter his/her behaviour to avoid disciplinary action.

#### **6.4.4 Written Warning**

If, following a verbal warning, the behaviour persists, the matter may be brought to the Provost's attention again within twenty (20) business days after the event demonstrating that the behaviour has persisted. The Provost will then consult with the relevant parties and if he/she finds that the faculty member has failed to heed the verbal warning, will issue a written warning to the faculty member. The party receiving the warning may,

within twenty (20) business days after receipt of the warning, appeal to the grievance committee to have the warning removed from his/her record. In that case, the grievance procedures are as outlined above in Section 6.2. If no written warning is issued, and the grievant has reason to believe that the Provost improperly judged the severity of the behaviour, the grievant may file a written grievance with the grievance committee, within twenty (20) business days after the Provost's decision in the matter.

The grievance committee will then follow the procedures as outlined in Section 6.2 above, and, if it finds in favour of the grievant, recommend to the President that an internal administrative hearing be convened to review the case. The time limits set forth herein are mandatory and may be waived only by mutual agreement of the parties.

## **6.5 Internal Administrative Hearings**

An Internal Administrative Hearing shall be convened when there is evidence that a faculty member has violated the Faculty Code of Ethics or AUBG Policies and has failed to heed prior verbal and written warnings regarding his/her conduct; or when the offense is egregious enough to warrant the convening of an Internal Administrative Hearing upon the first offense. The purpose of the Internal Administrative Hearing is to review the evidence; protect the right to due process of the person being charged with an offense; determine whether or not an offense has actually occurred; and, when appropriate, recommend to the President a course of disciplinary action that is in keeping with the gravity of the offense. While it is not possible to delineate under what conditions which disciplinary sanction will be imposed, it is expected that disciplinary action will be in accordance with the severity and the circumstances surrounding the case. In some cases the nature of the offense may simply require a relatively minor sanction. In other cases, the sanction may lead to suspension without pay for a period of time, a determination to not renew an employee's contract, or termination.

6.5.1 When the President receives a formal written request from the Provost, an appropriate administrator, any employee, or a student to convene a formal administrative hearing the President will review the evidence and judge the severity of the allegation. The President will also review the entire case to ensure that where appropriate, informal procedures, such as verbal and written warnings, were issued to the faculty member which clearly explained the nature of the policy and the faculty member's violation of said policy.

6.5.2 If the President finds that the faculty member has failed to heed appropriate warnings, or finds that the offense was so egregious that informal procedures are inappropriate, the President shall convene an Internal Administrative Hearing and appoint, in consultation with the Provost, the Faculty Assembly Chair, the grieved party, and the party alleged to have committed the action in question, a faculty conduct council composed primarily, though not necessarily exclusively, of faculty.

6.5.3 The committee appointed by the President shall conduct formal hearings, and at the conclusion of these proceedings, issue a recommendation to the President as to the appropriate course of action. All parties will receive a copy of the committee's recommendation and be given the opportunity to respond to the President in writing. After reviewing the matter, and ensuring that due process was followed, the President will issue his/her decision.

6.5.4 In such cases, the President's decision will be final, though all parties retain the right to seek legal redress outside the institution. If the President finds evidence of substantial violations of due process, the President will order the case to be re-heard. If the President finds that there is not sufficient evidence to hold the accused party responsible, the President shall dismiss the case and no records will be kept in the faculty member's personnel file and the matter may not be used against the faculty member in any matters related to personnel decisions. If the President finds that the accused party should be held responsible, the President will advise the faculty member of pending disciplinary actions.

6.5.5 When an offense is not serious enough to warrant immediate dismissal for cause, but the President judges it to be serious enough to preclude contract renewal, the President shall state clearly upon conclusion of the Internal Administrative Hearing that the offense is serious enough to lead to non-renewal of a contract. The President may also indicate that the absence of any further offenses, or the taking of specific actions by the faculty member, will lead the President to rescind an earlier decision to not renew a contract.

6.5.6 In all cases the President will inform the faculty member and any and all allegedly aggrieved parties of his/her decision in writing.

6.5.7 All Internal Administrative Hearings must respect due process as defined by AAUP and maintain the confidentiality of all parties to the hearing. Use of internal administrative hearings does not preclude any party from obtaining legal counsel or from having recourse to legal proceedings.

## **SECTION SEVEN: PROCESS FOR AMENDING POLICIES**

### **7.1 Procedures for Amending the Faculty Handbook**

7.1.1 The Dean's Council may recommend to the Faculty Assembly changes in the Faculty Handbook at any time provided that the Faculty Assembly receives prior notice of such recommendations. Any individual member or a specially appointed committee of the Faculty Assembly may propose an amendment or revision to the Faculty Handbook at any regularly scheduled meeting. All changes to the Faculty Handbook must receive a two-thirds majority vote of the Faculty Assembly to pass on as a formal recommendation to the President.

7.1.2 Upon a two-thirds vote of the Faculty Assembly, the President will consider the recommended changes, and reply as to their appropriateness at the earliest possible time. At the discretion of the President, recommended changes to the Faculty Handbook will be on the agenda of the May Board meeting. All changes in the Faculty Handbook require approval of the Board of Trustees.

### **7.2 Procedures for Amending the AUBG Policies Manual**

7.2.1 From time to time the University policies may need to be revised to ensure that the University is in compliance with changes in the law of the US, Bulgaria, and the European Union. Such changes should not be made without informing the faculty of the recommended change and the reason for it.

7.2.2 At any point in time, the President may appoint a University Committee to review the University's policies and procedures. The President retains the legal right to recommend any changes in University policies to the Board at any point in time. Normally, however, he/she will do so only after consulting with the Faculty Assembly and duly considering the recommendations of the Faculty. All changes to University policies require approval of the Board of Trustees.

## INDEX

- Absence, from campus ([2.13](#))
- Absence, from class ([3.7.1](#))
- Academic Freedom ([1.7.9](#))
- Academic Freedom, defined ([1.2](#))
- Adjunct Faculty, defined ([2.2.3](#))
- Adjunct Faculty, evaluation ([3.13](#))
- Adjunct Faculty, promotion ([3.16](#))
- Amending the Faculty Handbook ([7.1](#))
- Amending University Policies ([7.1](#))
- Appeals, bases for ([6.1](#))
- Appeals, procedures ([6.1](#))
- Attendance at Commencement ([3.7.3](#))
- Benefits during leave of absence ([2.13.1](#))
- Changes to the Faculty Handbook ([7.1](#))
- Collegiality ([1.7.7](#))
- Conflicts of Interest ([1.6](#); [1.7.10](#); [1.7.11](#))
- Conflicts of Interest, financial dealings ([1.6.2](#); [1.6.3](#); [1.6.4](#); [1.7.1](#))
- Conflicts of Interest, personal relationships ([1.6.5](#))
- Consenting Relationships ([1.7.3](#))
- Contract ([2.3](#))
- Contract Renewal ([2.7](#); [2.8](#))
- Curricular revision, faculty positions ([4.2](#))
- Class Administration, syllabi ([3.7.1](#))
- Class Administration, exclusion of students ([1.4.1](#))
- Department, Chair Responsibilities ([5.3](#))
- Department, Chair selection ([5.4](#))
- Department, membership ([5.1](#))
- Department, structure ([5.2](#))
- Disciplinary Actions ([1.8](#))
- Dossier for Evaluation ([3.11](#))
- Early Promotion ([3.17.1](#))
- Evaluation, Adjunct Faculty ([3.4](#))
- Evaluation, Criteria ([3.6](#); [3.7](#); [3.8](#); [3.9](#))
- Evaluation, Fixed Term Contracts ([3.2](#))
- Evaluation, Purpose ([3.1](#))
- Evaluation, Renewable Contracts ([3.3](#))
- Evaluation, Visiting EMBA Faculty ([3.5](#))
- Faculty, Code of Ethics ([1.7](#))
- Faculty, Recruitment ([2.1](#))
- Faculty, recruitment of adjunct and visiting ([2.1.4](#))
- Faculty Evaluation criteria ([3.6](#); [3.7](#); [3.8](#))
- Faculty Evaluation Team, election ([3.10](#))
- Faculty Evaluation Team, alternate ([3.10.4](#), [3.10.5](#))
- Faculty Responsibilities ([3.7](#))
- Faculty Rights ([1.4](#))
- Final Examinations ([3.7.1](#))
- Financial Exigency ([4.1](#))
- Five Year Contract ([2.8.3](#))
- Freedom of speech ([1.7.4](#))
- Full-time Faculty, Fixed Term Contracts ([2.7](#))
- Full-time Faculty, Regular Contracts ([2.8](#))
- Graduate Faculty, Qualifications ([2.5](#))
- Grievance, Against an Administrative Action ([6.2.1](#))
- Grievance, Against Another Faculty Member ([6.4](#))
- Harassment ([1.7.3](#); [1.7.5](#))
- Hearings, Administrative ([6.5](#))
- Hiring Procedures ([2.1](#); [5.3.2](#))
- Indemnification ([1.4.2](#))
- Involuntary Termination ([2.10](#); [2.11](#))
- Leave, Without Pay ([2.13.1](#))
- Leave, Sabbatical ([2.13.2](#))
- Letter of appointment ([2.3](#))
- Non-Discrimination Policy ([1.3](#))
- Office Hours ([3.7.1](#))
- Outside Activities ([1.5](#); [1.6.1](#))
- Personnel file ([1.4.3](#))
- Principles of Academic Freedom ([1.2](#))
- Probationary period ([2.6](#))
- Procedures for Evaluation, Full –Time ([3.12](#))
- Procedures for Evaluation, Adjunct ([3.13](#))
- Procedures for Evaluation, Visiting ([3.14](#))
- Promotion, Criteria ([3.16](#))
- Promotion, Early ([3.17.1](#))
- Promotion, Procedures ([3.17](#))
- Rank, Initial Appointment ([2.4](#))
- Rank, Promotion ([3.16](#); [3.17](#))
- Recruitment of Faculty ([2.1](#))
- Reduction in Force, Financial Exigency ([4.1](#))
- Renewal of Contracts ([2.7](#); [2.8](#))
- Resignation of Faculty ([2.12](#))
- Responsibilities, Department Chairs ([5.3](#))
- Responsibilities, Faculty ([3.7](#))
- Sabbatical Leave ([2.13.2](#))
- Scholarly Activities ([3.7.2](#); [3.8.2](#); [3.9.2](#))
- Sexual Harassment ([1.7.3](#))
- Standards of Conduct ([1.7](#); [2.11](#); [6.5](#))
- Students, Removal from Class ([1.4.1](#))
- Search Committees ([2.1.1](#); [2.1.2](#))
- Seniority, Definition ([4.3](#))
- Service ([3.7.3](#))
- Standards of Conduct [1.7](#)
- Students, Consenting Relationships ([1.7.3](#))
- Students, Conflict of Interest ([1.6.5](#); [1.7.2](#); [1.7.9](#))
- Students, Financial Dealings With ([1.7.1](#); [1.7.3](#))
- Teaching, Load ([2.3](#))
- Teaching Responsibilities ([3.7.1](#))
- Teaching, peer evaluation of ([3.7.1](#); [3.8.1](#); [3.9.1](#))
- Teaching, student evaluation of ([3.8.1](#))
- Temporary Appointments ([2.2.2](#))
- Termination of Employment, Financial Exigency ([4.1](#))
- Termination of Employment, Probationary Period ([2.6](#))
- Termination of Employment, Voluntary ([2.9](#))
- Termination, for Cause ([2.10](#))
- Three Year Contract ([2.8.1](#); [2.8.2](#))
- Timetable for Evaluation ([3.15](#))
- University Functions, attendance ([3.7.3](#))
- University Service ([3.7.3](#))
- Verbal Warning ([6.4.3](#))
- Visiting Faculty, defined ([2.2.4](#))
- Written warning ([6.4.4](#))